

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>		<b>Application No.</b> 10/577,110	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KETELAARS ET AL
<b>Examiner</b> RICHARD M. BEMBEN		<b>Art Unit</b> 2622	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) RICHARD M. BEMBEN.

(2) Dan Piotrowski (Reg. No. 42,079).

**Date of Interview:** 2 February 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

  

**Claims discussed:**

1, 6, and 7

  

**Prior art documents discussed:**

  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner proposed amendments to place the application in condition for allowance; applicant's representative accepted. See the accompanying Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** 71

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 10:15AM EST

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)